

# **NEW BRUNSWICK RISK SHARING POOL**

## **OCTOBER 2022 OPERATIONAL REPORT**

### **ACTUARIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

Related Bulletin: [F2022-091 NB RSP October 2022 Operational Report](#)

For your convenience, bookmarks have been added to this document. To view them, please click on the BOOKMARK tab at the left.

Should you require any further information, please call Philippe Gosselin, VP Actuarial & CRO at (416) 863-1750 x4968.

---

**ACTUARIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

**RSP NEW BRUNSWICK**

**OPERATIONAL REPORT**

**OCTOBER 2022**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Valuation Schedule (Fiscal Year 2022) .....	2
1.2	New Valuation .....	3
1.3	Appointed Actuary and Hybrid Actuarial Services Model .....	5
1.4	Consideration of Recent Legal Decisions and Changes in Legislation / Regulation.....	5
1.5	Current Provision Summary .....	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Activity since previous valuation implementation .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Recorded Premium and Claims Activity.....	6
2.1.a	Actual vs. Projected (AvsP): Earned Premium.....	7
2.1.b	AvsP: Recorded Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense.....	8
2.1.c	AvsP: Paid Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense .....	10
2.2	Actuarial Provisions.....	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Ultimate Loss Ratio Matching Method .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Calendar Year-to-Date Results.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Current Operational Report – Additional Exhibits .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>EXHIBITS .....</b>	<b>15</b>

## 1 Summary

Note to members: this is the quarterly Actuarial Highlights we will release going forward to replace the monthly Actuarial Highlights. The next report will be available for reporting month March 2023 in April 2023, in line with the valuation implementation schedule. Please contact us with any questions or concerns in regards to this matter.

### 1.1 Valuation Schedule (Fiscal Year 2022)

The October 2022 Operational Report incorporates the results of an updated valuation (as at September 30, 2022) – the impact of the implementation of the valuation is discussed in section 1.2. The following table summarizes the valuation implementations scheduled for fiscal year 2022.

NEW BRUNSWICK RISK SHARING POOL FISCAL YEAR 2022 – SCHEDULE OF VALUATIONS			
Valuation Date	Discount Rate (per annum)	Operational Report	Description of Changes
Sep 30, 2021 (completed)	0.86% mfad <sup>1</sup> 25 bp	Oct. 2021	update valuation (roll-forward): accident year 2021 loss ratio decreased 1.2 points to 66.3%; discount rate increased by 10 basis points; no change to selected margins for adverse deviations
Dec. 31, 2021 (completed)	1.07% mfad 25 bp	Mar. 2022	update valuation: accident year 2021 loss ratio <u>de</u> creased 2.0 points to 64.3% and accident year 2021 loss ratio <u>in</u> creased 0.1 points to 84.2%; discount rate <u>in</u> creased 21 basis points; no change to selected margins for adverse deviations
Mar. 31, 2022 (completed)	2.26% mfad 25 bp	May. 2022	update valuation (roll-forward): accident year 2021 loss ratio decreased 1 points to 84.2%; discount rate increased by 119 basis points; no change to selected margins for adverse deviations
Jun. 30, 2022	3.16% mfad 25 bp	Aug. 2022	update valuation: accident year 2022 loss ratio decreased 5.5 points to 78.6%; discount rate increased 90 basis points; selected margins for adverse deviations were rolled forward one year, with no changes to selections
Sep. 30, 2022	3.48% mfad 25 bp	Oct. 2022	update valuation (roll-forward): accident year 2021 loss ratio decreased 1.1 points to 77.5%; discount rate increased by 32 basis points; no change to selected margins for adverse deviations

<sup>1</sup> The selected interest rate margin is limited to reducing the selected discount rate to 0%; the approach is that if the net impact is negative, the discount rate will be capped at 0%.

Under the proposed schedule for fiscal year 2022, the off-half valuation quarters ending March 31, 2022 and September 30, 2022 would not reflect a full valuation update of assumptions, but would rather roll-forward key assumptions from the previous valuation.

## 1.2 New Valuation

A valuation of the New Brunswick Risk Sharing Pool (“RSP”) as at September 30, 2022 has been completed since last month’s Operational Report and the results of that valuation have been incorporated into this month’s Report. The valuation was completed by the Facility Association’s internal actuarial group in conjunction with, and approved by, the Appointed Actuary, under the hybrid model for actuarial services.

The valuation implementation impact is summarized in the following two tables, where the abbreviations PAYs refers to prior accident years, CAY refers to the current accident year (2022), and “Prem Def” refers to premium deficiency / deferred acquisition costs impacts.

### *Summary of Impact (\$000s) of Implementing Result of Valuation as at Sep. 30, 2022<sup>2</sup>*

NB	unfav / (fav) for the month and ytd					
	IMPACT in \$000s from changes in:					
	ults & payout patterns			dsct rate	margins	
	Nominal	apv adj.	sub-tot	apv adj.	apv adj.	TOTAL
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
PAYs	195	(1)	194	(190)	-	4
CAY	(181)	9	(172)	(77)	-	(249)
Prem Def	(25)	-	(25)	(45)	-	(70)
TOTAL	(11)	8	(3)	(312)	-	(315)

As indicated in the preceding table, the incorporation of the new valuation had an estimated **\$0.3 million favourable impact** on the month’s net result from operations, subtracting an estimated 2.0 points (see following table) from the **year-to-date Combined Operating Ratio** to end at **102.9%**. Due to the September valuation being a roll-forward, the favourable valuation impact is mainly driven by the increase in discounting.

<sup>2</sup>In these tables, “PAYs” refers to prior accident years, “CAY” refers to the current accident year, and “Prem Def” refers to the provision for premium deficiency or the deferred policy acquisition asset (as applicable). “Nominal” refers to changes excluding any actuarial present value adjustments, whereas “apv adj.” refers to actuarial present value adjustments.

The columns under the heading “ults & payout patterns” reflect the impact of changes in the valuation selected ultimates and claims payment patterns (i.e. based on unchanged selection of discount rates and margins for adverse deviation). The column “dsct rate” reflects the impact of the change in the selected discount rate and the column “margins” reflects the impact of any changes in selected margins for adverse deviations.

*Summary of Impact (% YTD EP) of Implementing Result of Valuation as at Sep. 30, 2022*

NB	ytd EP 15,747 (actual)					
	IMPACT unfav / (fav) as % ytd EP from changes in:					
	ults & payout patterns			dsct rate	margins	
	Nominal	apv adj.	sub-tot	apv adj.	apv adj.	TOTAL
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
PAYs	1.2%	-	1.2%	(1.2%)	-	-
CAY	(1.1%)	0.1%	(1.1%)	(0.5%)	-	(1.6%)
Prem Def	(0.2%)	-	(0.2%)	(0.3%)	-	(0.4%)
TOTAL	(0.1%)	0.1%	-	(2.0%)	-	(2.0%)

The impact of the **nominal changes** is shown in column [1] of the two preceding summary tables. The change in the selected nominal ultimates was **favourable by \$11 thousand** overall. This reflects the impact attributable to the changes in the selected ultimate loss ratios (i.e. for each accident year, it is the product of life-to-date earned premium for the accident year and the change in the selected ultimate loss ratio). As this quarter is a roll-forward valuation, the impacts are mainly driven by claims development on short-tailed lines of business and on older accident years. Since the impact is relatively small, this indicates that claims are developing more or less as expected.

The **PAYs** overall showed a **\$195 thousand unfavourable** nominal variance or 0.8% of the PAYs nominal unpaid balance of \$23.9 million determined at the end of last month (September 2022), relatively unchanged since the prior valuation.

The CAY and premium deficiency impacts are a result of the change in the nominal ultimate loss ratio for 2022 (decreased by 1.1% to 77.5%) in the latest valuation, a relatively small change due to favourable claims development. Please note that the 2022 accident year loss ratio is expected to increase in 2022 compared to 2021 as the new RSP harmonization rules come into effect, allowing companies to select up to 5% of their personal passenger automobile to cede to the pool at their discretion.

The impacts related to actuarial present value (“apv”) adjustments are split into the impact prior to any change in the selected discount rate and selected margins for adverse deviations or “MfADs” (at the level they were selected i.e. coverage and accident half-year), the impact of then updating the discount rate, and finally the impact of any changes to the MfADs (at the level they were selected). The changes in actuarial present value adjustments are shown in the preceding summary tables in columns [2], [4], and [5].

Column [2] recognizes that changing the nominal selections also changed the unpaid estimates (including changes to the relative mix by government line, which has an impact on the weighted-average MfADs). It also reflects the fact that we updated the projected emergence of claims payments, resulting in a change in the projected cash flows. These changes generated a favourable change of \$8 thousand in the actuarial present value adjustments, prior to any changes in the selected discount rate and/or MfADs.

Updated projected cash flows were reviewed against the risk-free curve calculated monthly by the

Fiera Capital Corporation<sup>3</sup> as at Sep. 30, 2022, which replaced the previously selected risk-free yield curve, derived from Government of Canada benchmark bond yields. Column [4] accounts for the change in the **discount rate** selected (average discount rate increased 32 basis points to **3.48%**), indicating a favourable impact of \$312 thousand. The impact *related only to claims liabilities* (i.e. PAYs plus CAY) was \$267 thousand on at October 2022 – this compares to the \$299 thousand change one would estimate as the impact by interpolation using the interest rate sensitivity table provided in last quarter’s Actuarial Highlights.

Column [5] accounts for any changes to selected MfADs. The selected **investment rate MfAD** was **left unchanged at 25 basis points** and the selected **claims development MfADs** at the coverage and accident year level were also left unchanged (as per our usual practice, development margins are reviewed with the June 30 valuation).

Consideration was given to recent legal decisions and changes in legislation / regulation as noted above and outlined in section 1.4.

### **1.3 Appointed Actuary and Hybrid Actuarial Services Model**

The Annual General Meeting of the members of Facility Association (“FA”) appointed Mr. Cosimo Pantaleo as the Appointed Actuary at its meeting on March 3, 2022.

Facility Association operates under a hybrid model in relation to the management and provision of actuarial services. Under this model, actuarial services are performed by both Facility Association’s internal staff and its external actuarial consulting firm. The hybrid model approach maximizes the efficiency of resource allocation while providing access to additional expertise and capacity as needed.

### **1.4 Consideration of Recent Legal Decisions and Changes in Legislation / Regulation**

There have been no changes in these descriptions since last Highlights.

Consideration and assessment of potential impacts of legal decisions and changes in legislation / regulation constitutes a regular part of the valuation process. At the current time, there are no specific recent (i.e. within the last five years) decisions or changes for inclusion here.



### **1.5 Current Provision Summary**

The following charts show the current levels of claim liabilities<sup>4</sup> booked by accident year. The left chart displays life-to-date payments, case reserves, IBNR, and the total including actuarial present value adjustments against accident year earned premium. The right chart shows the associated dollar amounts for the components of the claim liabilities and the current projected amount of 2022 full year earned premium (the red hash-mark line) to provide some perspective.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.fieracapital.com/en/institutional-markets/cia-ifs-17-curves>

<sup>4</sup>Claim liabilities refer to provision for unpaid indemnity and allowed claims expenses. Allowed claims expenses are first party legal and other expenses as listed in the RSP Claims Guide. Claims expenses paid through the member company expense allowance are NOT included in this discussion.



“M/S” refers to “Member Statement” values – that is, actuarial present value adjustments at the selected discount rate.

claim liabilities (\$000s)

	amt	%
case	18,990	59.0%
ibnr	12,635	39.3%
M/S apv adjust.	534	1.7%
M/S total	32,159	100.0%

The current actuarial present value adjustments balance (\$0.5 million – see the following table) represents 3% of the earned premium projected for the full year 2022 (see the upper right corner of the right chart above). If our current estimates of the nominal unpaid amounts prove to match actual claims payments, the actuarial present value adjustments will be released into the net operating result over future periods.

The table to the left breaks down the Member Statement (M/S) claim liabilities total into component parts, showing that the majority of the claim liabilities for this RSP is in case reserves. Approximately 68% of the IBNR balance relates to accident years 2021 and 2022 (see Exhibit B). Approximately 80% of the M/S total claim liabilities are related to accident years 2018-2022 inclusive (i.e. the most recent 5 accident years), and approximately 3% is related to accident years 2012 and prior (i.e. prior to the most recent 10 accident years).

The following tables summarize the premium liabilities and the total policy liabilities.

premium liabilities (\$000s)

	amt	%
unearned prem	7,372	106.1%
prem def/(dpac)	(529)	(7.6%)
M/S apv adjust.	104	1.5%
M/S total	6,947	100.0%

policy liabilities (\$000s)

	amt	%
claim	31,625	80.9%
premium	6,843	17.5%
M/S apv adjust.	638	1.6%
M/S total	39,106	100.0%

## 2 Activity since previous valuation implementation

### 2.1 Recorded Premium and Claims Activity

The following table summarizes the extent to which premiums and claims amounts recorded since the prior implementation differ from the prior projection.

## New Brunswick RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: Recorded Transaction Amounts (\$ thousands)

AY Group	Share Year	Share Month	Actual Earned Premium (000s)	Actual minus Projected Earned Premium (000s)	Actual Paid Claims (000s)	Actual minus Projected Paid Claims (000s)	Actual Recorded Claims (000s)	Actual minus Projected Recorded Claims (000s)
PAY	2022	September	(358)	(358)	923	673	942	698
		October	(1)	(1)	171	(129)	445	168
<b>PAY Total</b>			<b>(359)</b>	<b>(359)</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>1,387</b>	<b>866</b>
CAY	2022	September	1,182	216	582	10	584	83
		October	1,279	35	506	(40)	644	49
<b>CAY Total</b>			<b>2,461</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>(30)</b>	<b>1,228</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>2,102</b>	<b>(108)</b>	<b>2,182</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>2,615</b>	<b>998</b>

(Recorded transaction amounts exclude IBNR & other actuarial provisions)


Claims transaction activity is generally volatile and changes from one month to the next are anticipated due to this natural “process variance” (i.e. random variation), and this is particularly true where volumes are low as found in this RSP. Each month, the projection variances are reviewed for signs of projection bias and to identify potential ways to reduce the level of the variance. The variances are also reviewed as part of the quarterly valuation process, as an indicator of changes in the claims development process or potential bias in ultimate claims estimates.

More detailed analysis and commentary on actual vs. projected for the most recent reporting months is provided below.

### 2.1.a Actual vs. Projected (AvsP): Earned Premium

The following charts show actual **earned premium**<sup>5</sup> activity in each of the most recent 25 calendar months, along with a “prior 24-month average” to show how each month’s actual compares with the average amount of the preceding 24 calendar months.

#### New Brunswick RSP Actual **Earned Premium** by Calendar Month





**Earned premium** changes during a given calendar month in relation to prior accident years tend to be at modest levels, although relatively high levels generally occur at the beginning of each year.

<sup>5</sup>Premium is earned on a daily basis based on the transaction term measured in days. As a result, months with 31 days earned relatively more than those with 30 days, and February earns the least.



The associated variance between the actual changes and the projections from the previous month are shown in following charts. **Earned premium** change projections are all attributed to the current accident year as the projection upload does not accept **earned premium** changes for other accident years. We do not see this limitation as being significant for our purposes, but it does mean that the actual less projection variance will equal the actual **earned premium** change in relation to prior accident years.

*New Brunswick RSP Actual vs. Projected Summary: **Earned Premium** Variances by Calendar Month*



On Latest \$ thousands		
Earned Premium	PAYs	CAY
Mthly Avg EP Chg (prior 24 mths)	(36)	1,707
std dev	72	158
A-P <> std dev	7	6
% <> std dev	28.0%	24.0%
norm <> std dev	31.7%	31.7%
performance vs 24-mth avg:	no better	better

We project **earned premium** changes from known unearned premium balances and projected written premium levels, but upload the total projections as current accident year (CAY). This process has generated prior accident years' (PAYs) bias<sup>6</sup>, with actuals generally lower than our projections, although the magnitude is not high relative to

monthly premium. However, for the CAY, bias<sup>7</sup> has not been indicated. Over time, we may consider other projection approaches to address the bias issue, but it is not currently deemed as priority.

Readers will note the widening of the CAY standard deviation band, reflecting the recent and sustained volume increases and the impact as those increases are earned.



## 2.1.b AvsP: Recorded Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense

The following charts show actual **recorded** activity (**paid** and case reserve changes), in each of the most recent 25 calendar months, along with a "prior 24-month average" to show how each month's actual compares with the average amount of the preceding 24 calendar months.

<sup>6</sup>The PAYs' variances will show bias as the projection upload forces all earned premium projections to be attributed to the CAY.



<sup>7</sup>For the binomial distribution with 25 trials and an assumed 50% success probability, the 95% confidence range is 8 to 17 successes. That is, favourable or unfavourable counts of 0 to 7 or 18 to 25 out of 25 outcomes would suggest bias.

## New Brunswick RSP Actual Recorded by Calendar Month



**Recorded** activity variances from the previous month's projections are shown in the following charts, including the "prior 24-month standard deviation" levels to show how the variances from projection compare with historical standard deviations.

## New Brunswick RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: **Recorded** Variances by Calendar Month



On Latest \$ thousands		
	<b>Recorded</b>	
Mthly Avg Recorded (prior 24 mths)	PAYs 319	CAY 718
std dev	667	238
A-P <> std dev	8	11
% <> std dev	32.0%	44.0%
norm <> std dev	31.7%	31.7%
performance vs 24-mth avg:	no better	worse

With respect to **recorded** indemnity & allowed claims expense activity, caution must be exercised in reviewing the variances as this is a small pool and single claim transactions that are normal course for the business may look unusual and generate relatively significant variances that in nominal value terms are not that significant in relative or


overall terms. That said, 32% of the prior accident years' (PAYs) **recorded** variances over the last 25 calendar months have fallen outside of one standard deviation of the actual **recorded** amounts (see preceding table to the left), suggesting the projection process has performed better than simply projecting the prior 24-month average amount (assuming it follows a normal distribution). Bias has not been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a rolling 25-month basis (15 of 25 variances are positive).

The current accident year (CAY) **recorded** variances fell outside of one standard deviation 44% of the time over the last 25 calendar months (see preceding table on the left), suggesting the projection process has performed no better than simply projecting the prior 24-month average amount. Bias has not been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a rolling 25-month basis (11 of 25 variances are positive).

The method for establishing IBNR adjusts automatically for changes in **earned premium** and **recorded** claims activity level (see sections 2.2 and 3).

We have included, for reference, the following charts related to levels influencing **recorded** activity. Note in particular the increase in the level of PAY beginning IBNR over the months. Part of this will be as a response to valuations and showing up as a beginning IBNR change one month after the valuation is implemented (i.e. April, June, September, and November).

### New Brunswick RSP Levels that influence<sup>8</sup> Recorded activity by Calendar Month



We track PAY beginning IBNR as **recorded** activity comes out of IBNR. Changes in the PAY beginning IBNR (see upper left of the preceding group of charts) occur for several possible reasons:

- to offset actual **recorded** activity (through loss ratio matching);
- the annual switchover as a CAY becomes a PAY (occurs in January); and
- when a new valuation is implemented, where the valuation resulted in changes to the selection of PAYs' ultimates (will show up as a beginning IBNR change one month after the valuation is implemented, i.e. the change will generally show in April, June, September, and November).



### 2.1.c AvsP: Paid Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense

The following charts show actual **paid** activity in each of the most recent 25 calendar months, along

<sup>8</sup>Our recorded activity projections for the prior accident years are based on selected ratios of recorded activity to beginning unpaid balances, whereas the current accident year projections are based on selected ratios of year-to-date IBNR to year-to-date selected ultimate (i.e. selected LR x earned premium), deriving year-to-date recorded as selected ultimate less IBNR. In both cases, the ratio selection is based on our review of the more recent recorded activity and recent AvsP analyses.



with a “prior 24-month average” to show how each month’s actual compares with the average amount of the preceding 24 calendar months.

## New Brunswick RSP Actual **Paid** activity by Calendar Month



**Paid** activity variances from the previous month’s projections are shown in the following charts, including the “prior 24-month standard deviation” levels to show how the variances from projection compare with historical standard deviations.

## New Brunswick RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: **Paid** Variances by Calendar Month



On Latest \$ thousands		
	<b>Paid</b>	
Mthly Avg Paid (prior 24 mths)	357	489
std dev	221	158
A-P <> std dev	5	4
% <> std dev	20.0%	16.0%
norm <> std dev	31.7%	31.7%
performance vs 24-mth avg:	better	better

With respect to **paid** indemnity & allowed claims expense, caution must be exercised in reviewing the variances as this is a small pool, and single claim transactions that are normal course for the business may look unusual and generate relatively significant variances that in nominal value terms are not that significant in relative or overall terms.

That said, 20% of the prior accident years’ (PAYs) variances over the last 25 calendar months have fallen outside of one standard deviation of the actual **paid** amounts (see preceding table on the left), suggesting the projection process has performed no better than simply projecting the prior 24-month average amount (assuming a normal distribution). Bias has been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a rolling 25-month basis (9 of 25 variances are positive).

The current accident year (CAY) **paid** variances fell outside of one standard deviation 16% of the time over the last 25 calendar months (see preceding table on the left), suggesting that the projection process has performed better than simply projecting the prior 24-month average amount. Bias has

not been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a rolling 25-month basis (15 of 25 variances are positive).

We have included, for reference, the following charts related to levels influencing **paid** activity.

## New Brunswick RSP Levels that influence<sup>9</sup> **Paid** activity by Calendar Month



We track the PAY beginning unpaid balance (case and IBNR) as **paid** activity comes out of the unpaid balance. Changes in the PAY beginning unpaid balance (see upper left of the preceding group of charts) occur for several possible reasons:

- to offset actual **paid** activity (may reduce case or IBNR or both);
- the annual switchover as a CAY becomes a PAY (occurs in January); and
- when a new valuation is implemented, where the valuation resulted in changes to the selection of PAYs' ultimates (will show up as a beginning unpaid balance change one month after the valuation is implemented, i.e. the change will generally show in April, June, September, and November).

## 2.2 Actuarial Provisions

An ultimate loss ratio matching method (described in section 3) was used to determine the month's

<sup>9</sup>Our paid projections for the prior accident years are based on selected ratios of paid to beginning unpaid balances, whereas the current accident year projections are based on selected ratios of year-to-date paid to year-to-date selected ultimate indemnity (i.e. selected LR x earned premium). In both cases, the ratio selection is based on our review of the more recent recorded activity and recent AvSP analyses.

IBNR<sup>10</sup>, and factors were applied to the nominal unpaid claims liability (case plus IBNR) to determine the discount amount (shown as a negative value to indicate its impact of reducing the liability) and the Provisions for Adverse Deviations. The loss ratios and the factors used to determine the current month's provisions and projections were based on the applicable valuation.

Exhibit G shows the accident year IBNR amount change from last month to this month broken down into:

- (i) the change projected last month;
- (ii) the additional change due to variances in earned premium (because we apply a loss ratio to earned premium in determining ultimate level) and/or recorded claims (as IBNR is calculated as ultimate less recorded) differences; and
- (iii) the additional change due to valuation implementation impacts (as applicable)

### **3 Ultimate Loss Ratio Matching Method**

An "ultimate loss ratio matching method" continues to be applied to the current month and two projected months shown in the Operational Reports, with IBNR determined by accident year as follows:

- (a) Earned premium to-date
- (b) Ultimate loss<sup>11</sup> ratio per latest valuation
- (c) Estimated ultimate incurred = (a) x (b)
- (d) Recorded indemnity & allowed claims expense to-date
- (e) IBNR = (c) – (d)

### **4 Calendar Year-to-Date Results**

The following table summarizes the calendar year-to-date results for indemnity & allowed claims expenses<sup>12</sup>, including IBNR.

In calculating the amounts as percentages of earned premium, the calendar year-to-date earned premium has been used, which includes not only the earned premium associated with the current accident year, but also earned premium adjustments related to prior accident years. Specifically, the current accident year (CAY) ratio in the table is 79.7% rather than 77.5% (the valuation ultimate ratio for accident year 2022), as the calendar year-to-date earned premium includes prior accident year earned premium adjustments. (Note that the ratios in this table may differ slightly from those shown in the New Brunswick RSP Summary of Operations due to rounding.)

---

<sup>10</sup>For ease of discussion, "IBNR" is used in place of "provisions for incurred but not recorded (IBNR) and development".

<sup>11</sup>"Loss" here refers to indemnity and allowed claims expenses, but does not include the claims expense allowance included in member company overall expense allowances ("Expense Allowance" in the Operational Report).

<sup>12</sup>Allowed claims expenses are first party legal and other expenses as listed in the RSP Claims Guide. Claims expenses paid through the member company expense allowance are NOT included in this analysis.

*New Brunswick RSP Calendar Year-to-Date Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense Summary (\$ thousands)*

Table 04	YTD Nominal Values		YTD actuarial present value adjustment		YTD Total		Change from Prior Month YTD	
	Amount	% EP	Amount	% EP	Amount	% EP	Amount	LR pts
PAYs	709	4.4%	(2,024)	(12.7%)	(1,315)	(8.2%)	0	0.8%
CAY	12,716	79.7%	8	0.1%	12,724	79.7%	762	(1.8%)
TOTAL	13,426	84.1%	(2,016)	(12.6%)	11,410	71.5%	762	(1.0%)

("% EP" based on 2022 calendar year-to-date earned premium; ratios may not total due to rounding)

In general, prior accident years (PAYs) changes from last month are due to the release of the actuarial present value adjustments with claims payments, except when valuations are implemented. The loss ratio change year-to-date in Table 04 reflects not only changes in the prior accident year levels, but also the increase in the calendar year-to-date earned premium with an additional month's earned premium, and due to the impact of valuation implementation.

For the current accident year (CAY), changes in the year-to-date total reflects the additional month's exposure and regular changes to actuarial present value adjustments as the year ages, and due to the impact of valuation implementation.

## 5 Current Operational Report – Additional Exhibits

Section 6 provides exhibits pertaining to the actuarial provisions reflected in the current month's Operational Report.

IBNR (including actuarial present value adjustments) presented in section 6, Exhibit A, were derived on a discounted basis, and therefore reflect the time value of money and include an explicit provision for adverse deviations in accordance with accepted actuarial practice in Canada.

IBNR presented in section 6, Exhibit B, does NOT include any actuarial present value adjustments. The "Total IBNR" from this exhibit is shown in the Operational Report as "Undiscounted IBNR".

The ultimate loss ratios detailed in section 6, Exhibit B, refer to the estimates derived on the basis of various actuarial methodologies applied to the experience of the New Brunswick Risk Sharing Pool for the purposes of the most recent quarterly valuation. As discussed in section 3, IBNR reflected in the current month's Operational Report was derived as the difference between the estimated ultimate for the claims amount (i.e. earned premium x ultimate loss ratio) and the associated current recorded amounts (life-to-date payments plus current case reserves).

## 6 EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed below are provided on the pages that follow:

EXHIBIT A	IBNR for Member Sharing – includes Actuarial Present Value Adjustments
EXHIBIT B	IBNR
EXHIBIT C	Premium Liabilities
EXHIBIT D	Projected Year-end Policy Liabilities
EXHIBIT E	Discount Rate & Margins for Adverse Deviations
EXHIBIT F	Interest Rate Sensitivity
EXHIBIT G	Components of IBNR Change During Month



EXHIBIT A

IBNR for Member Sharing – includes Actuarial Present Value Adjustments

TABLE EXHIBIT A

IBNR + M/S actuarial present  
value adjustments

discount rate  
3.48%

interest rate margin  
25 basis pts

Amounts in \$000s								
Accident Year	Actual Sep. 2022	Actual Oct. 2022	Projected Nov. 2022	Projected Dec. 2022	Projected Jan. 2023	Projected Feb. 2023	Projected Mar. 2023	Projected Dec. 2023
2007	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
2008	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	11
2009	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
2010	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
2011	39	37	37	37	59	58	58	51
2012	-	11	11	11	8	8	8	7
2013	55	45	45	45	44	44	43	37
2014	(64)	27	26	27	30	30	29	25
2015	37	23	22	23	28	27	27	23
2016	152	83	81	81	91	89	88	78
2017	567	529	512	507	522	517	511	430
2018	784	568	562	555	571	564	558	473
2019	1,352	1,444	1,428	1,415	1,401	1,365	1,346	1,154
2020	1,941	1,796	1,727	1,643	1,660	1,595	1,578	1,264
2021	3,001	2,856	2,744	2,608	2,621	2,545	2,496	1,623
2022	5,621	5,738	6,152	6,574	6,439	6,246	6,120	3,978
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13,497</b>	<b>13,169</b>	<b>13,359</b>	<b>13,538</b>	<b>14,035</b>	<b>14,081</b>	<b>14,282</b>	<b>12,195</b>
Change		(328)	190	179	497	46	201	

Please see Exhibit G, page 1 for Components of Change during Current Month

EXHIBIT B

IBNR

TABLE EXHIBIT B

	Amounts in \$000s									
Ultimate Loss Ratio	Accident Year	Actual Sep. 2022	Actual Oct. 2022	Projected Nov. 2022	Projected Dec. 2022	Projected Jan. 2023	Projected Feb. 2023	Projected Mar. 2023	Projected Dec. 2023	
72.1%	2007	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
76.3%	2008	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
84.5%	2009	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	
57.8%	2010	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	
90.3%	2011	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
56.9%	2012	(9)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
76.8%	2013	(11)	(18)	(17)	(17)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(15)	
67.4%	2014	(92)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	
62.4%	2015	4	(8)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(8)	
68.1%	2016	98	38	37	37	36	36	36	31	
83.2%	2017	469	447	432	427	410	406	402	335	
78.7%	2018	695	504	498	493	473	468	463	390	
85.9%	2019	1,263	1,395	1,381	1,367	1,300	1,266	1,248	1,065	
58.3%	2020	1,843	1,736	1,667	1,584	1,546	1,481	1,466	1,162	
65.7%	2021	2,890	2,809	2,697	2,562	2,536	2,460	2,411	1,546	
77.5%	2022	5,550	5,730	6,144	6,566	6,435	6,242	6,117	3,976	
	TOTAL	12,707	12,635	12,833	13,013	13,263	13,317	13,527	11,513	
	Change		(72)	198	180	250	54	210		

Please see Exhibit G, page 2 for Components of Change during Current Month

EXHIBIT C

Premium Liabilities

TABLE EXHIBIT C

	Amounts in \$000s							
	Actual Sep. 2022	Actual Oct. 2022	Projected Nov. 2022	Projected Dec. 2022	Projected Jan. 2023	Projected Feb. 2023	Projected Mar. 2023	Projected Dec. 2023
Premium Liabilities								
(1) unearned premium (UP)	7,111	7,372	7,910	8,381	8,846	9,239	9,785	12,696
FOR MEMBER SHARING								
(2) expected future costs ratio {% of (1)}	92.6%	94.2%	97.1%	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%	99.9%	99.4%
(3) expected future costs {(1) x (2)}	6,585	6,947	7,677	8,374	8,842	9,233	9,777	12,626
(4) premium deficiency / (deferred policy acquisition cost)	(526)	(425)	(233)	(7)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(70)
Excluding Actuarial Present Value Adjustments								
(5) expected future costs ratio {% of (1)}	90.6%	92.8%	95.6%	98.4%	98.5%	98.5%	98.4%	98.0%
(6) expected future costs {(1) x (5)}	6,446	6,843	7,564	8,250	8,711	9,097	9,633	12,440
(7) premium deficiency / (deferred policy acquisition cost)	(665)	(529)	(346)	(131)	(135)	(142)	(152)	(256)

EXHIBIT D

Projected Year-end Policy Liabilities

The table below presents the projected policy liabilities as at December 31, 2022, broken down by component.

New Brunswick		Projected Balances as at Dec. 31, 2022 (\$000s)									
ending 2022		nominal values			actuarial present value adjustments (apvs)						
Acc Yr	Case	IBNR	Total Unpaid	discount	investment PfAD	nominal development PfAD	development PfAD discount	development PfAD	Total apvs	TOTAL	
2007	-	5	5	-	-	1	-	1	1	6	
2008	76	4	80	-	-	8	-	8	8	88	
2009	-	(2)	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2)	
2010	-	(2)	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2)	
2011	556	4	560	(23)	2	56	(2)	54	33	593	
2012	118	1	119	(2)	-	12	-	12	10	129	
2013	789	(17)	772	(15)	1	77	(1)	76	62	834	
2014	465	(6)	459	(13)	1	46	(1)	45	33	492	
2015	526	(8)	518	(20)	1	52	(2)	50	31	549	
2016	903	37	940	(48)	3	94	(5)	89	44	984	
2017	2,001	427	2,428	(158)	11	243	(16)	227	80	2,508	
2018	2,519	493	3,012	(231)	15	301	(23)	278	62	3,074	
2019	3,479	1,367	4,846	(423)	28	485	(42)	443	48	4,894	
2020	2,158	1,584	3,742	(380)	25	461	(47)	414	59	3,801	
2021	3,400	2,562	5,962	(643)	42	725	(78)	647	46	6,008	
PAYs (sub-total):	16,990	6,447	23,437	(1,956)	129	2,561	(217)	2,344	517	23,954	
CAY (2022)	1,897	6,566	8,463	(907)	59	959	(103)	856	8	8,471	
claims liabilities:	18,887	13,013	31,900	(2,863)	188	3,520	(320)	3,200	525	32,425	
	Unearned Premium	Premium Deficiency / (DPAC)	Total Provision	discount	investment PfAD	nominal development PfAD	development PfAD discount	development PfAD	Total apvs	TOTAL*	
premium liabilities:	8,381	(131)	8,250	(606)	39	747	(56)	691	124	8,374	
*Total may not be sum of parts, as apvs apply to future costs within UPR											
policy liabilities:			40,150	(3,469)	227	4,267	(376)	3,891	649	40,799	

EXHIBIT E

Discount Rate & Margins for Adverse Deviations

The tables below present selected margins for adverse development by coverage (the total is a weighted average, based on the unpaid claims projection for December 31, 2022 from the valuation), followed by the selected discount rate and the associated margin for investment income.

Accident Year	Selected Claims Development MfADs			
	Third Party Liability	Accident Benefits	Other Coverages	Total
	Margins	Margins	Margins	Margins
2005	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2006	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2007	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2008	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2009	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2010	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2011	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2012	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2013	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2014	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2015	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2016	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2017	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2018	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
2019	10.0%	10.0%	8.1%	10.0%
2020	12.5%	10.0%	12.5%	12.3%
2021	12.5%	10.0%	8.7%	12.2%
2022	12.3%	10.0%	5.5%	11.3%
2023	11.8%	10.0%	5.1%	9.3%
prem liab	11.8%	10.0%	5.1%	9.3%

discount rate: 3.48%  
margin (basis points): 25

\*prem liabilities as at 2022m09

EXHIBIT F

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The tables below present sensitivity to the member statement claims liability as projected to Dec. 31, 2022 from the latest valuation date (projections in exhibits A to D are to Dec. 31, 2022, and are based on more up-to-date information). We have included the most recent valuation selection (3.48%), the prior valuation assumption (3.17%) and the prior fiscal year end valuation assumption (0.22%) for comparative purposes. A 25 basis point margin for investment return adverse deviation is used in all scenarios presented.

AY	Actuarial Present Value of Provisions at Various Discount Rates - Dec. 31, 2022 projected Unpaid							
	2.48%	2.98%	3.48%	3.98%	4.48%	4.98%	3.16%	0.86%
2005 & prior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2011	553	550	546	543	540	537	548	564
2012	93	93	92	92	92	92	93	94
2013	709	707	705	703	701	700	706	716
2014	386	384	383	381	380	378	384	392
2015	517	514	511	508	505	502	513	527
2016	906	900	893	886	880	874	897	929
2017	2,425	2,402	2,380	2,358	2,336	2,314	2,394	2,503
2018	2,998	2,965	2,932	2,900	2,868	2,837	2,953	3,112
2019	4,974	4,910	4,848	4,787	4,728	4,670	4,888	5,191
2020	3,801	3,744	3,688	3,634	3,581	3,530	3,723	3,996
2021	6,145	6,047	5,952	5,859	5,769	5,682	6,013	6,483
2022	8,370	8,236	8,107	7,982	7,861	7,743	8,190	8,831
Total	31,877	31,451	31,037	30,634	30,242	29,859	31,301	33,337
	curr - 100 bp	curr - 50 bp	curr val assumption	curr + 50bp	curr + 100bp	curr + 150bp	prior val assumption	prior fyr end assumption

AY	Dollar Impact Relative to Valuation Assumption							
	2.48%	2.98%	3.48%	3.98%	4.48%	4.98%	3.16%	0.86%
Total	839	414	-	(403)	(796)	(1,178)	264	2,300
	curr - 100 bp	curr - 50 bp	curr val assumption	curr + 50bp	curr + 100bp	curr + 150bp	prior val assumption	prior fyr end assumption

AY	Percentage Impact Relative to Valuation Assumption							
	2.48%	2.98%	3.48%	3.98%	4.48%	4.98%	3.16%	0.86%
2005 & prior	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2005	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2006	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2007	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2008	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2009	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2010	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2011	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	-0.6%	-1.2%	-1.8%	0.4%	3.2%
2012	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	-0.3%	-0.6%	-0.9%	0.2%	1.5%
2013	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	-0.3%	-0.5%	-0.8%	0.2%	1.5%
2014	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	-0.4%	-0.8%	-1.2%	0.3%	2.3%
2015	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	-0.6%	-1.1%	-1.7%	0.4%	3.1%
2016	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%	-0.7%	-1.5%	-2.2%	0.5%	4.0%
2017	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	-0.9%	-1.8%	-2.7%	0.6%	5.2%
2018	2.3%	1.1%	0.0%	-1.1%	-2.2%	-3.2%	0.7%	6.2%
2019	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	-1.3%	-2.5%	-3.7%	0.8%	7.1%
2020	3.1%	1.5%	0.0%	-1.5%	-2.9%	-4.3%	1.0%	8.4%
2021	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%	-1.6%	-3.1%	-4.5%	1.0%	8.9%
2022	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%	-1.5%	-3.0%	-4.5%	1.0%	8.9%
2023	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%	-1.3%	-2.6%	-3.8%	0.8%	7.4%
	curr - 100 bp	curr - 50 bp	curr val assumption	curr + 50bp	curr + 100bp	curr + 150bp	prior val assumption	prior fyr end assumption

EXHIBIT G

Page 1 of 2

Components of Member Statement IBNR (i.e. “Discounted”) Change  
(September 2022 to August 2022)

RSP New Brunswick  
AccountCode Desc IBNR - Discounted

M/S IBNR - in \$000s

AccYear	Sum of Prior Month Actual Amount	Sum of Projected Change	Sum of Change Due to AvsP Variances	Sum of Change Due to Valuation Implementation	Sum of Total Change	Sum of % Total Change	Sum of Current Month Final Amount
prior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2005	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007	6	-	-	-	-	-	6
2008	12	-	-	-	-	-	12
2009	(1)	-	(1)	-	(1)	100.0%	(2)
2010	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
2011	40	-	(1)	(2)	(3)	(7.5%)	37
2012	-	-	-	11	11	-	11
2013	59	(1)	1	(14)	(14)	(23.7%)	45
2014	30	2	(96)	91	(3)	(10.0%)	27
2015	50	(1)	(24)	(2)	(27)	(54.0%)	23
2016	136	(4)	19	(68)	(53)	(39.0%)	83
2017	757	(12)	(179)	(37)	(228)	(30.1%)	529
2018	842	(26)	(158)	(90)	(274)	(32.5%)	568
2019	1,588	(64)	(184)	104	(144)	(9.1%)	1,444
2020	2,213	(181)	(271)	35	(417)	(18.8%)	1,796
2021	3,354	(246)	(228)	(24)	(498)	(14.8%)	2,856
2022	5,272	649	66	(249)	466	8.8%	5,738
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>14,354</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>(1,056)</b>	<b>(245)</b>	<b>(1,185)</b>	<b>(8.3%)</b>	<b>13,169</b>

EXHIBIT G

Page 2 of 2

Components of IBNR (i.e. “Undiscounted”) Change  
(September 2022 to August 2022)

RSP New Brunswick  
AccountCode Desc IBNR - Undiscounted

IBNR - in \$000s

AccYear	Sum of Prior Month Actual Amount	Sum of Projected Change	Sum of Change Due to AvsP Variances	Sum of Change Due to Valuation Implementation	Sum of Total Change	Sum of % Total Change	Sum of Current Month Final Amount
prior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2005	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007	5	-	-	-	-	-	5
2008	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
2009	(1)	-	(1)	-	(1)	100.0%	(2)
2010	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
2011	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
2012	(9)	-	-	10	10	(111.1%)	1
2013	(7)	-	-	(11)	(11)	157.1%	(18)
2014	(4)	1	(89)	86	(2)	50.0%	(6)
2015	16	-	(24)	-	(24)	(150.0%)	(8)
2016	71	(2)	28	(59)	(33)	(46.5%)	38
2017	658	(12)	(178)	(21)	(211)	(32.1%)	447
2018	748	(24)	(155)	(65)	(244)	(32.6%)	504
2019	1,498	(63)	(183)	143	(103)	(6.9%)	1,395
2020	2,110	(179)	(267)	72	(374)	(17.7%)	1,736
2021	3,235	(242)	(224)	40	(426)	(13.2%)	2,809
2022	5,204	641	66	(181)	526	10.1%	5,730
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>13,528</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>(1,027)</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>(893)</b>	<b>(6.6%)</b>	<b>12,635</b>