

# ALBERTA GRID RISK SHARING POOL FEBRUARY 2018 OPERATIONAL REPORT ACTUARIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Related Bulletin: F18-020 Alberta RSPs February 2018 Operational Reports

For your convenience, bookmarks have been added to this document. To view them, please click on the BOOKMARK tab at the left.

Should you require any further information, please call Shawn Doherty, Senior Vice President Actuarial & CFO at (416) 644-4968.



# **ACTUARIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

# RSP ALBERTA GRID

# OPERATIONAL REPORT

# FEBRUARY 2018

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Sum	mary.		2
	1.1	Valua	tion Schedule (Fiscal Year 2018)	2
	1.2	Appo	inted Actuary and Hybrid Actuarial Services Model	2
	1.3		deration of Recent Legal Decisions and Changes in Legislation / Regulation	
	1.4	Curre	nt Provision Summary	3
2			ring the Month of February 2018	
	2.1	Recor	ded Premium and Claims Activity	4
			Actual vs. Projected (AvsP): Earned Premium	
			AvsP: Paid Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense	
	2.2	Actua	rial Provisions	10
3	Ulti	mate L	oss Ratio Matching Method	12
4	Cale	endar Y	Year-to-Date Results	12
5	Cur	rent O	perational Report – Additional Exhibits	12
6	EXI	HIBITS	S	13



### 1 Summary

### 1.1 Valuation Schedule (Fiscal Year 2018)

The February 2018 Operational Report leverages actuarial assumptions consistent with last month (that is, it does not reflect the results of an updated valuation). The table immediately below summarizes the implemented valuations and future scheduled valuations for fiscal year 2018.

ALBERTA GRID RISK SHARING POOL FISCAL YEAR 2018 – SCHEDULE OF VALUATIONS									
Valuation Date	Discount Rate (per annum)	Operational Report	Description of Changes						
Sep. 30, 2017 (completed)	1.76% mfad: 25 bp	Oct. 2017	updated valuation (roll forward): accident year 2017 loss ratio decreased 0.3 points to 89.9%; discount rate increased by 57 basis points; no change to selected margins for adverse deviations						
Dec. 31, 2017		Mar. 2018	update valuation:						
Mar. 31, 2018		May 2018	update valuation (roll forward):						
Jun. 30, 2018		Aug. 2018	update valuation:						
Sep. 30, 2018		Oct. 2018	update valuation (roll forward):						

Under the proposed schedule for fiscal year 2018, the "off-half" valuation quarters ending March 31, 2018 and September 30, 2018 would not reflect a full valuation update of assumptions, but would rather "roll-forward" key assumptions from the previous valuation.

### 1.2 Appointed Actuary and Hybrid Actuarial Services Model

Liam McFarlane of Ernst & Young LLP is Facility Association's Appointed Actuary (effective as of June 1, 2013).

Facility Association operates under a "hybrid" model in relation to the management and provision of actuarial services. Under this model, actuarial services are performed by both Facility Association's internal staff and its external actuarial consulting firm. The hybrid model approach maximizes the efficiency of resource allocation while providing access to additional expertise and capacity as needed.

### 1.3 Consideration of Recent Legal Decisions and Changes in Legislation / Regulation

Consideration and assessment of potential impacts of legal decisions and changes in legislation / regulation constitutes a regular part of the valuation process. Descriptions of some of the more recent changes are provided below. There have been no changes in these descriptions since last month's Highlights.




The **Supreme Court of Canada** rendered its judgment on **Saadati v Moorhead** (2017 SCC 28, rendered on Jun 2, 2017). Saadati was involved in a collision in July of 2005 in British Columbia and sued the at-fault driver for damages. According the Supreme Court decision, "The trial judge found that the ... accident caused S[aadati] psychological injuries, including personality change and cognitive difficulties. ...and awarded S[aadati] \$100,000 for non-pecuniary damages." The trial decision was appealed to the BC Court of Appeal where the trial's \$100,000 non-pecuniary award was dismissed. The Supreme Court upheld the \$100,000 non-pecuniary award, determining:

- "A finding of legally compensable mental injury need not rest, in whole or in part, on the claimant proving a recognized psychiatric injury."
- "...a trier of fact adjudicating a claim of mental injury is not concerned with diagnosis, but with symptoms and their effects."
- "Expert evidence can assist in determining whether or not a mental injury has been shown, but where psychiatric diagnosis is unavailable, it remains open to a trier of fact to find on other evidence adduced by the claimant that he or she has proven on a balance of probabilities the occurrence of mental injury."

At the current time, no adjustments have been made to our valuation estimates or views based on the judgment as rendered, but we continue to review and consider the implications of the judgment.

### 1.4 Current Provision Summary

The charts immediately below show the current levels of claim liabilities<sup>1</sup> booked by accident year<sup>2</sup>. The left chart displays life-to-date payments, case reserves, IBNR, and the total including actuarial present value adjustments against accident year earned premium. The right chart shows the associated dollar amounts for the components of the claim liabilities and the current projected amount of 2018 full year earned premium (the red hash-mark line) to provide some perspective.



The current actuarial present value adjustments balance (\$21.1 million – see table at the top of the next page) represents 12% of the earned premium projected for the full year 2018 (see the upper right corner of the right chart above). If our current estimates of the nominal unpaid amounts prove

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Claim liabilities refer to provision for unpaid indemnity and allowed claims expenses. Allowed claims expenses are first party legal and other expenses as listed in the RSP Claims Guide. Claims expenses paid through the member company expense allowance are NOT included in this discussion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Accident year 2004 was an incomplete year and therefore has been excluded from the loss ratio chart.



to match actual claims payments, the actuarial present value adjustments will be released into the net operating result over future periods.

claim liabilities (\$000s)									
	amt	%							
case	201,943	61.7%							
ibnr	104,220	31.8%							
M/S apv adjust.	21,117	6.5%							
M/S total	327,280	100.0%							

The table to the left breaks down the Member Statement (M/S) claim liabilities total into component parts, showing that the majority of the claim liabilities for this pool is in case reserves. Approximately 43% of the IBNR balance relates to accident years 2017 and 2018 (see Exhibit B). Approximately 81% of the M/S

total claim liabilities are related to accident years 2014-2018 inclusive (i.e. the most recent 5 accident years), and approximately 2% is related to accident years 2008 and prior (i.e. prior to the most recent 10 accident years).

The tables immediately below summarize the premium liabilities and the total policy liabilities.

premium liabilities (\$	000s)		policy liabilities (\$000s)					
	amt	%		amt	%			
unearned prem	83,050	109.6%	claim	306,163	76.0%			
prem def/(dpac)	(11,502)	(15.2%)	premium	71,548	17.8%			
M/S apv adjust.	4,242	5.6%	M/S apv adjust.	25,359	6.3%			
M/S total	75,790	100.0%	M/S total	403,070	100.0%			

### 2 Activity During the Month of February 2018

### 2.1 Recorded Premium and Claims Activity

The table immediately below summarizes the extent to which premiums and claims amounts recorded during the month differ from projections reflected in the prior month's Operational Report<sup>3</sup>.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: Recorded Transaction Amounts (\$ thousands)

		-		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Table 01	Earned Premium		Paid Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense		Case inc	crease /	Recorded increase /		
					(decrease)		(decrease)		
Accident	Actual	Actual less	Actual	Actual less	Actual	Actual less	Actual	Actual less	
Year	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	
Prior	(12)	(12)	4,554	2,084	(3,861)	(3,592)	693	(1,508)	
2016	(59)	(59)	1,328	98	(1,084)	(707)	244	(609)	
2017	(250)	(250)	3,215	(1,867)	(2,175)	936	1,039	(931)	
2018	12,520	41	2,473	225	6,846	1,043	9,320	1,268	
TOTAL	12,199	(280)	11,570	541	(274)	(2,321)	11,296	(1,780)	

(Recorded transaction amounts exclude IBNR & other actuarial provisions)

Claims transaction activity is generally volatile and changes from one month to the next are anticipated due to this natural "process variance" (i.e. random variation). Each month, the projection variances are reviewed for signs of projection bias and to identify potential ways to reduce the level of the variance. Commentary from our review is provided in the sub-sections that follow.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There may be rounding differences in values in this document compared with the associated Bulletin and/or Operational Report.



### 2.1.a Actual vs. Projected (AvsP): Earned Premium

The charts immediately below show actual **earned premium**<sup>4</sup> activity in each of the most recent 25 calendar months, along with a "prior 24-month average" to show how each month's actual compares with the average amount of the preceding 24 calendar months.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual Earned Premium by Calendar Month




**Earned premium** changes during a given calendar month in relation to prior accident years tend to be at modest levels, although relatively high levels seem to occur at the beginning of each year.

We have noted and have investigated the unusually high level of PAYs earned premium activity earlier in 2017 and in January/February 2018, particularly with respect to one member. Management reviewed and was satisfied with the appropriateness of the 2017 transactions, and is in the process of reviewing the January/February 2018 transactions.

The associated variance between the actual changes and the projections from the previous month are shown in the charts immediately below. **Earned premium** change projections are all attributed to the current accident year as the projection upload does not accept earned premium changes for other accident years. We do not see this limitation as being significant for our purposes, but it does mean that the actual less projection variance will equal the actual **earned premium** change in relation to prior accident years.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual vs. Projected Summary: Earned Premium Variances by Calendar Month



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Premium is earned on a daily basis based on the transaction term measured in days. As a result, months with 31 days earned relatively more than those with 30 days, and February earns the least.



On Latest \$thousands							
Earned Premium	PAYs	CAY					
Mthly Avg EP Chg (prior 24 mths)	(101)	11,916					
std dev	116	1,140					
A-P <> std dev	9	-					
% <> std dev	36.0%	0.0%					
norm <> std dev	31.7%	31.7%					


We project **earned premium** changes from known unearned premium and projected written premium levels, but upload the total projections as current accident year (CAY). This process has generated prior accident years' (PAYs) bias<sup>5</sup>, with actuals generally lower than projected. However, the magnitude is not high relative to monthly

premium. In addition to the PAYs' bias, the CAY has also shown bias<sup>6</sup>, with actuals being generally lower than projected, and we modified our projections processes in response, but bias still exists. Over time, we may consider other projection approaches to narrow monthly variance levels further, but it is not currently deemed a priority.

### 2.1.b AvsP: Recorded Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense


The charts immediately below show actual **recorded** activity (**paid** and case reserve changes), in each of the most recent 25 calendar months, along with a "prior 24-month average" to show how each month's actual compares with the average amount of the preceding 24 calendar months.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual Recorded by Calendar Month



**Recorded** activity variances from the previous month's projections are shown in the charts immediately below, including the "prior 24-month standard deviation" levels to show how the variances from projection compare with historical standard deviations.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: **Recorded** Variances by Calendar Month



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The PAYs' variances will show bias as the projection upload forces all earned premium projections to be attributed to the CAY.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We measure bias based on a 95% confidence range for a binominal distribution with trials based on the range being considered (24 in this case) and 50% probability of success. The 24-month variances at February 2018 has only 4 months where the projection was higher than projected, and as the 95% confidence range is 7 to 17, bias continues to be indicated.



On Latest \$ thousands							
Recorded	PAYs	CAY					
Mthly Avg Recorded (prior 24 mths)	3,574	7,496					
std dev	2,101	1,297					
A-P <> std dev	9	7					
% <> std dev	36.0%	28.0%					
norm <> std dev	31.7%	31.7%					

With respect to **recorded** indemnity & allowed claims expense activity, 36% of the prior accident years' (PAYs) variances (left chart at the bottom of the previous page) over the last 25 months have fallen outside of one standard deviation of the actual **recorded** amounts, suggesting the projection process has performed

no better than simply projecting from the prior 24-month average. No bias has been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a lagging 24-month basis.

The PAY recorded variance was outside of one standard deviation. The activity was reviewed and confirmed, with the variance attributed to process variance.

The current accident year (CAY) **recorded** variances (right chart at the bottom of the previous page), have been greater than one standard deviation 28% of the time, which suggests that the projection process has performed no better than simply projecting the most recent prior 24-month average. No bias has been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a lagging 24-month basis.

We note that there may be a change in the levels of CAY **recorded** and **paid** activity relative to year-to-date **earned premium**, as evidenced by the average of monthly ratios over the past several years shown in the tables immediately below. These tables show, in each row, the average monthly ratio for each calendar year. That is, each row in the left table (as at Dec) provides the average of the 12 monthly-ratios (i.e. Jan, Feb, ... Dec) for that row's calendar year, whereas each row in the right table (as at February) provides the average of the 2 monthly ratios (i.e. Jan-Feb) for that row's calendar year.

CAY avg of mthly ratios for yr CAY avg of mthly ratios for yr yr-on-yr yr-on-yr yr-on-yr yr-on-yr Rec'd Paid Rec'd Paid as at chg chg as at chg chg Dec 2009 Feb 2009 28.3% 4.5% 11.5% 4.4% Dec 2010 10.9% (0.6%)4.5% 0.1% Feb 2010 25.4% (2.9%)5.3% 0.8% Dec 2011 12.8% 1.9% 4.8% 0.3% Feb 2011 31.6% 6.2% 4.9% (0.4%)5.2% 0.3% Dec 2012 12.4% 4.7% (0.1%)Feb 2012 28.6% (3.0%)(0.4%)4.9% Dec 2013 12.6% 0.2% 4.8% 0.1% Feb 2013 31.2% 2.6% (0.3%)Dec 2014 13.8% 1.2% 5.3% 0.5% Feb 2014 30.7% (0.5%)5.4% 0.5% Dec 2015 14.4% 0.6% 5.5% 0.2% Feb 2015 35.2% 4.5% 6.0% 0.6% Dec 2016 14.0% (0.4%)5.4% (0.1%)Feb 2016 31.0% (4.2%)6.3% 0.3% Dec 2017 15.5% 1.5% 5.6% 0.2% Feb 2017 42.1% 11.1% 6.5% 0.2% Feb 2018 41.6% (0.5%)7.3% 0.8%

Both **recorded** and **paid** ratios for Dec. 2017 relative to Dec. 2009 have increased at an annual rate of almost 4% over and above any premium rate level increases. At this point, we are only monitoring, but the valuation team has been advised and are taking this information into consideration. Further, while the average of the 12 monthly ratios at December for 2016 was down from 2015, the December 12-month average ratios for calendar year 2017 were at the highest level for both **recorded** and **paid**.

As can be seen in the right table above, (average of 2 months to February of each year), the **recorded** ratio was the second highest ratio since 2009, though down from 2017 (the highest **recorded** ratio), while the **paid** ratio was the highest ratio since 2009, as well as being up from




2017. However, these ratios are more volatile earlier in the year due to smaller year-to-date earned premium levels.

The method for establishing IBNR adjusts automatically for changes in **earned premium** and **recorded** claims activity level (see sections 2.2 and 3).

We have included, for reference, additional charts immediately below related to levels influencing **recorded** activity. Note in particular the changes in the level of PAY beginning IBNR over the months, as a response to valuations and showing up as a beginning IBNR change one month after the valuation is implemented (i.e. April, June, September, and November).

Alberta Grid RSP Levels that influence<sup>7</sup> Recorded activity by Calendar Month



We track beginning prior accident years' IBNR as **recorded** activity "comes out of" IBNR. Changes in the prior accident years' beginning IBNR (see upper left chart above) occur for several possible reasons:

- to offset actual **recorded** activity (through loss ratio matching);
- the annual switchover as a current accident year becomes a prior accident year (occurs in January); and
- when a new valuation is implemented, where the valuation resulted in changes to the selection of prior accident years' ultimate (will show up as a beginning IBNR change one month after the valuation is implemented, i.e. the change will generally show in April, June, September, and November).


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Our recorded activity projections for the prior accident years are based on selected ratios of recorded activity to beginning unpaid balances, whereas the current accident year projections are based on selected ratios of year-to-date IBNR to year-to-date selected ultimate (i.e. selected LR x earned premium), deriving year-to-date recorded as selected ultimate less IBNR. In both cases, the ratio selection is based on our review of the more recent recorded activity and recent AvsP analyses.




### 2.1.c AvsP: Paid Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense

The charts below show actual **paid** activity in each of the most recent 25 calendar months, along with a "prior 24-month average" to show how each month's actual compares with the average amount of the preceding 24 calendar months.


Alberta Grid RSP Actual **Paid** activity by Calendar Month





**Paid** activity variances from the previous month's projections are shown in the charts below, including the "prior 24-month standard deviation" levels to show how the variances from projection compare with historical standard deviations.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: **Paid** Variances by Calendar Month





On Latest \$ thousands							
Paid	PAYs	CAY					
Mthly Avg Paid (prior 24 mths)	6,795	3,564					
std dev	1,532	1,304					
A-P <> std dev	5	-					
% <> std dev	20.0%	0.0%					
norm <> std dev	31.7%	31.7%					

With respect to **paid** indemnity & allowed claims expense, the prior accident years' (PAYs) variances (left chart above) have fallen outside one standard deviation of the overall period 20% of the time, suggesting the projection process has performed better than simply projecting from the preceding 24-month average. No bias has been


indicated at a 95% confidence level on a lagging 24-month basis.


The current accident year (CAY) **paid** variances (right chart above) have **not** fallen outside one standard deviation of the overall period, suggesting the projection process has performed better than simply projecting from the preceding 24-month average. No bias has been indicated at a 95% confidence level on a lagging 24-month basis.


We have included, for reference, additional charts at the top of the next page related to levels influencing **paid** activity.


--- prior year YTD











We track beginning prior accident years' unpaid balance (case and IBNR) as **paid** activity "comes out of" the unpaid balance. Changes in the prior accident years' beginning unpaid balance (see upper left chart above) occur for several possible reasons:

- to offset actual **paid** activity (may reduce case or IBNR or both);
- the annual switchover as a current accident year becomes a prior accident year (occurs in January); and
- when a new valuation is implemented, where the valuation resulted in changes to the selection of prior accident years' ultimate (will show up as a beginning unpaid balance change one month after the valuation is implemented, i.e. the change will generally show in April, June, September, and November).

### 2.2 Actuarial Provisions

An "ultimate loss ratio matching method" (described in section 3) is used to determine the month's IBNR<sup>9</sup>, and factors are applied to the nominal unpaid claims liability (case plus IBNR) to determine the discount amount (shown as a negative value to indicate its impact of reducing the liability) and the Provisions for Adverse Deviations. The loss ratios and the factors used to determine the projections and actuals were based on the applicable valuation. The table at the top of the next page summarizes variances in provisions included in the February 2018 Operational Report and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Our paid projections for the prior accident years are based on selected ratios of paid to beginning unpaid balances, whereas the current accident year projections are based on selected ratios of year-to-date paid to year-to-date selected ultimate indemnity (i.e. selected LR x earned premium). In both cases, the ratio selection is based on our review of the more recent recorded activity and recent AvsP analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>For ease of discussion, "IBNR" is used in place of "provisions for incurred but not recorded (IBNR) and development".



associated one-month projections from last month's Report.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: IBNR and APV Amounts (\$ thousands)

Table 02			actua	arial present v				
	IDI	A D	Diagount Amazumt		Provisions for Adverse		IBNR + actuarial present	
	IBNR		Discount Amount		Deviations		value adjustments	
Accident	Actual	Actual less	Actual	Actual less	Actual	Actual less	Actual	Actual less
Year	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected
Prior	38,353	1,499	(5,899)	76	15,995	(216)	48,449	1,359
2016	21,019	551	(3,005)	8	7,500	(19)	25,514	540
2017	38,143	706	(4,468)	(90)	9,710	197	43,385	813
2018	6,705	(1,232)	(1,034)	10	2,318	(22)	7,989	(1,244)
TOTAL	104,220	1,524	(14,406)	4	35,523	(60)	125,337	1,468

The IBNR provision is \$1.5 million higher than projected from last month, counterbalancing the recorded claims activity and adjusting for the earned premium variance impacts indicated in section 2.1.

Exhibit G shows the accident year IBNR amount change from last month to this month broken down into:

- (i) the change projected last month;
- (ii) the additional change due to variances in earned premium (because we apply a loss ratio to earned premium in determining ultimate level) and/or recorded claims (as IBNR is calculated as ultimate less recorded) differences; and
- (iii) the additional change due to valuation implementation impacts (as applicable)

The variances associated with (ii) above are discussed in sections 2.1.a and 2.1.b.

The table immediately below summarizes the variances in the provisions for premium deficiency liability / (deferred policy acquisition cost asset) included in the February 2018 Operational Report and the one-month projections from last month's Report. This RSP is in a deferred policy acquisition cost asset position (shown as a negative amount) prior to and after actuarial present value adjustments. Actuarial present value adjustments decrease the asset value as the adjustments increase the expected future policy obligations (costs) associated with the unearned premium. The variances noted are mainly driven by the unearned premium variance.

Alberta Grid RSP Actual vs Projected Summary: Premium Deficiency / (DPAC) Amounts (\$ thousands)

Table 03	Premium Deficiency / (Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs)		actuarial present value adjustments		Premium Deficiency / (DPAC) including actuarial present value adjustments	
	Actual	Actual less Projected	Actual	Actual less Projected	Actual	Actual less Projected
balance:	(11,502)	219	4,242	(82)	(7,260)	137
balance as % unearned premium:	(13.8%)	-	5.1%	-	(8.7%)	-

actual unearned premium: 83,050 less projected: (1,594)



### 3 Ultimate Loss Ratio Matching Method

An "ultimate loss ratio matching method" continues to be applied to the current month and two projected months shown in the Operational Reports, with IBNR determined by accident year as follows:

- (a) Earned premium to-date
- (b) Ultimate loss<sup>10</sup> ratio per latest valuation
- (c) Estimated ultimate incurred = (a) x (b)
- (d) Recorded indemnity & allowed claims expense to-date
- (e) IBNR = (c) (d)

### 4 Calendar Year-to-Date Results

The table immediately below summarizes the calendar year-to-date results for indemnity & allowed claims expenses<sup>11</sup>, including IBNR.

In calculating the amounts as percentages of earned premium, the calendar year-to-date earned premium has been used, which includes earned premium associated with the current accident year but also earned premium adjustments related to prior accident years. Specifically, the current accident year (CAY) ratio in the table is 88.0% rather than 85.8% (the valuation ultimate ratio for accident year 2018), as the calendar year-to-date earned premium includes prior accident year earned premium adjustments. (Note that the ratios in this table may differ slightly from those shown in the Alberta Grid RSP Summary of Operations due to rounding.)

Alberta Grid RSP Calendar Year-to-Date Indemnity & Allowed Claims Expense Summary (\$ thousands)

Table 04	YTD Nominal Values		YTD actuarial present value adjustment		YTD Total		Change from Prior Month YTD	
	Amount	% EP	Amount	% EP	Amount	% EP	Amount	LR pts
PAYs	(610)	(2.4%)	(697)	(2.7%)	(1,307)	(5.1%)	(921)	(2.3%)
CAY	22,713	88.0%	1,284	5.0%	23,997	93.0%	11,287	(0.4%)
TOTAL	22,103	85.7%	587	2.3%	22,690	87.9%	10,366	(2.7%)

("% EP" based on 2018 calendar year-to-date earned premium; ratios may not total due to rounding)

In general, prior accident years (PAYs) changes from last month are due to the release of the actuarial present value adjustments with claims payments, except when valuations are implemented. The loss ratio change year-to-date in Table 04 reflects not only changes in the prior accident year levels, but also the increase in the calendar year-to-date earned premium with an additional month's earned premium.

For the current accident year (CAY), changes in the year-to-date total reflects the additional month's exposure and regular changes to actuarial present value adjustments as the year ages.

### 5 Current Operational Report – Additional Exhibits

Section 6 provides exhibits pertaining to the actuarial provisions reflected in the current month's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Loss" here refers to indemnity and allowed claims expenses, but does not include the claims expense allowance included in member company overall expense allowances ("Expense Allowance" in the Operational Report).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Allowed claims expenses are first party legal and other expenses as listed in the RSP Claims Guide. Claims expenses paid through the member company expense allowance are NOT included in this analysis.



### Operational Report.

IBNR (including actuarial present value adjustments) presented in section 6, Exhibit A, were derived on a discounted basis, and therefore reflect the time value of money and include an explicit provision for adverse deviations in accordance with accepted actuarial practice in Canada.

IBNR presented in section 6, Exhibit B, does NOT include any actuarial present value adjustments. The "Total IBNR" from this exhibit is shown in the Operational Report as "Undiscounted IBNR".

The ultimate loss ratios presented in section 6, Exhibit B, refer to the estimates derived on the basis of various actuarial methodologies applied to the experience of the Alberta Grid Risk Sharing Pool for the purposes of the most recent quarterly valuation. As discussed in section 3, IBNR reflected in the current month's Operational Report was derived as the difference between the estimated ultimate for the claims amount (i.e. earned premium x ultimate loss ratio) and the associated current recorded amounts (life-to-date payments plus current case reserves).

### 6 EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed below are provided on the pages that follow:

EXHIBIT A IBNR for Member Sharing – includes Actuarial Present Value Adjustments

EXHIBIT B IBNR

EXHIBIT C Premium Liabilities

EXHIBIT D Projected Year-end Policy Liabilities

EXHIBIT E Discount Rate & Margins for Adverse Deviations

EXHIBIT F Interest Rate Sensitivity

EXHIBIT G Components of IBNR Change During Month



### **EXHIBIT A**

# IBNR for Member Sharing – includes Actuarial Present Value Adjustments

TABLE EXHIBIT A	Amounts in \$000s								
IBNR + M/S actuarial present	Accident	Actual	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected			
value adjustments	Year	Jan. 2018	Feb. 2018	Mar. 2018	Apr. 2018	Dec. 2018			
	2004	(72)	(72)	(72)	(72)	(72)			
	2005	61	37	36	36	29			
	2006	157	146	142	135	92			
	2007	(139)	(228)	(222)	(208)	(136)			
	2008	127	121	117	113	81			
	2009	1,221	1,206	1,169	1,115	761			
	2010	1,235	1,228	1,191	1,140	786			
	2011	2,374	2,235	2,169	2,072	1,423			
	2012	4,063	4,522	4,387	4,183	2,862			
discount rate	2013	8,042	8,038	7,799	7,433	5,071			
1.76%	2014	13,229	12,692	12,226	11,696	8,116			
	2015	19,158	18,524	18,153	17,367	13,170			
interest rate margin	2016	25,920	25,514	25,004	24,548	19,231			
25 basis pts	2017	44,869	43,385	42,358	41,835	34,904			
	2018	6,022	7,989	9,479	12,912	45,382			
	TOTAL	126,267	125,337	123,936	124,305	131,700			
	Change		(930)	(1,401)	369				

Please see Exhibit G, page 1 for Components of Change during Current Month



# **EXHIBIT B**

# **IBNR**

TABLE EXHIBIT B		Amounts in \$000s							
IBNR	Ultimate Loss Ratio	Accident Year	Actual Jan. 2018	Actual Feb. 2018	Projected Mar. 2018	Projected Apr. 2018	Projected Dec. 2018		
	51.6%	2004	(80)	(80)		(80)	(80)		
					(80)				
	59.3%	2005	(31)	(55)	(53)	(50)	(33)		
	66.4%	2006	115	115	112	106	72		
	70.2%	2007	(298)	(342)	(332)	(315)	(213)		
	67.1%	2008	16	10	10	9	7		
	60.6%	2009	993	985	955	907	614		
	62.0%	2010	798	793	769	731	494		
	66.2%	2011	1,712	1,585	1,537	1,460	987		
	73.9%	2012	3,113	3,601	3,493	3,318	2,243		
	77.1%	2013	6,693	6,778	6,575	6,246	4,224		
	86.0%	2014	11,114	10,630	10,205	9,695	6,564		
	93.7%	2015	14,910	14,333	14,046	13,344	9,817		
	97.4%	2016	21,321	21,019	20,599	20,187	15,483		
	89.9%	2017	39,407	38,143	37,380	37,006	30,837		
	85.8%	2018	5,282	6,705	7,703	10,634	38,954		
		TOTAL	105,065	104,220	102,919	103,198	109,970		
		Change		(845)	(1,301)	279			

Please see Exhibit G, page 2 for Components of Change during Current Month



# EXHIBIT C

# Premium Liabilities

TABLE EXHIBIT C		Amount	s in \$000s		
Premium Liabilities	Actual Jan. 2018	Actual Feb. 2018	Projected Mar. 2018	Projected Apr. 2018	Projected Dec. 2018
(1) unearned premium (UP)	86,264	83,050	82,787	85,051	90,608
FOR MEMBER SHARING					
(2) expected future costs ratio {% of (1)}	91.2%	91.3%	91.3%	91.4%	92.9%
<ul><li>(3) expected future costs {(1) x (2)}</li><li>(4) premium deficiency / (deferred policy</li></ul>	78,703	75,790	75,598	77,741	84,194
acquisition cost)	(7,561)	(7,260)	(7,189)	(7,310)	(6,414)
Excluding Actuarial Present Value Adjustments					
(5) expected future costs ratio {% of (1)}	86.1%	86.2%	86.2%	86.3%	87.7%
<ul><li>(6) expected future costs {(1) x (5)}</li><li>(7) premium deficiency / (deferred policy</li></ul>	74,298	71,548	71,367	73,390	79,481
acquisition cost)	(11,966)	(11,502)	(11,420)	(11,661)	(11,127)



# EXHIBIT D

# Projected Year-end Policy Liabilities

The table below presents the projected policy liabilities as at December 31, 2018, broken down by component.

Alberta Grid	Projected Balances as at Dec. 31, 2018 (\$000s)										
ending 2018	nominal values				actuarial present value adjustments (apvs)						
Acc Yr	Case	IBNR	Total Unpaid	discount	investment PfAD	nominal development PfAD	development PfAD discount	development PfAD	Total apvs	TOTAL	
2004	-	(80)	(80)	-	-	8	-	8	8	(72)	
2005	650	(33)	617	-	-	62	-	62	62	679	
2006	203	72	275	(8)	1	28	(1)	27	20	295	
2007	1,310	(213)	1,097	(34)	4	110	(3)	107	77	1,174	
2008	1,019	7	1,026	(30)	4	103	(3)	100	74	1,100	
2009	1,506	614	2,120	(66)	8	212	(7)	205	147	2,267	
2010	4,132	494	4,626	(176)	23	463	(18)	445	292	4,918	
2011	6,593	987	7,580	(334)	45	758	(33)	725	436	8,016	
2012	7,554	2,243	9,797	(382)	59	980	(38)	942	619	10,416	
2013	8,943	4,224	13,167	(487)	66	1,317	(49)	1,268	847	14,014	
2014	18,412	6,564	24,976	(974)	125	2,498	(97)	2,401	1,552	26,528	
2015	31,914	9,817	41,731	(1,878)	250	5,216	(235)	4,981	3,353	45,084	
2016	34,623	15,483	50,106	(2,505)	351	6,213	(311)	5,902	3,748	53,854	
2017	32,207	30,837	63,044	(3,467)	504	7,439	(409)	7,030	4,067	67,111	
PAYs (sub-total):	149,066	71,016	220,082	(10,341)	1,440	25,407	(1,204)	24,203	15,302	235,384	
CAY (2018)	58,813	38,954	97,767	(5,182)	684	11,537	(611)	10,926	6,428	104,195	
claims liabilities:	207,879	109,970	317,849	(15,523)	2,124	36,944	(1,815)	35,129	21,730	339,579	
	Unearned Premium	Premium Defiency / (DPAC)	Total Provision	discount	investment PfAD	nominal development PfAD	development PfAD discount	development PfAD	Total apvs	TOTAL*	
premium liabilities:	90,608	(11,127)	79,481	(3,484)	475	8,077	(355)	7,722	4,713	84,194	
						*	Total may not be s	um of parts, as ap	vs apply to future	costs within UPR	
policy liabilities:			397,330	(19,007)	2,599	45,021	(2,170)	42,851	26,443	423,773	



### **EXHIBIT E**

# Discount Rate & Margins for Adverse Deviations

The tables below present selected margins for adverse development by coverage (the total is a weighted average, based on the unpaid claims projection for December 31, 2017 from the valuation), followed by the selected discount rate and the associated margin for investment income.

Selected Claims Development MfADs (Sep. 30, 2017)

Accident	Third Party	Accident	Other	Tatal	
Year	Liability	Benefits	Coverages	Total	
2004	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2005	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2006	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2007	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2008	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2009	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2010	10.0%	10.0%	9.5%	10.0%	
2011	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2012	10.0%	10.0%	9.9%	10.0%	
2013	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2014	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
2015	12.5%	10.0%	12.5%	12.5%	
2016	12.4%	10.0%	12.5%	12.4%	
2017	12.1%	10.0%	6.6%	11.8%	
2018	11.7%	10.0%	5.1%	10.2%	
prem liab	11.7%	10.0%	5.1%	10.2%	

discount rate: 1.76% margin (basis points): 25

assumption assumption



### **EXHIBIT F**

### **Interest Rate Sensitivity**

The tables below present sensitivity to the member statement claims liability as projected to Dec. 31, 2017 from the latest valuation date (projections in exhibits A to D are to Dec. 31, 2018, and are based on more up-to-date information). We have included the most recent valuation selection (1.76%), the prior valuation assumption (1.19%) and the prior fiscal year end valuation assumption (0.54%) for comparative purposes. A 25 basis point margin for investment return adverse deviation is used in all scenarios presented.

\$ Format: \$000s

	Actuarial Present Value of Provisions at Various Discount Rates - Dec. 31, 2017 projected Unpaid							
AY	0.76%	1.26%	1.76%	2.26%	2.76%	3.26%	1.19%	0.54%
2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2005	1,013	1,005	997	989	981	973	1,006	1,017
2006	816	808	801	794	787	781	809	819
2007	2,643	2,621	2,600	2,579	2,558	2,538	2,624	2,652
2008	2,171	2,151	2,132	2,114	2,096	2,078	2,154	2,179
2009	4,192	4,145	4,101	4,056	4,012	3,970	4,152	4,213
2010	7,825	7,725	7,630	7,536	7,443	7,354	7,740	7,869
2011	13,101	12,954	12,812	12,673	12,538	12,406	12,976	13,167
2012	18,028	17,833	17,646	17,461	17,282	17,109	17,861	18,114
2013	23,977	23,704	23,441	23,181	22,930	22,686	23,742	24,099
2014	37,300	36,812	36,346	35,886	35,442	35,007	36,882	37,518
2016	69,422	68,308	67,244	66,204	65,196	64,219	68,465	69,921
2017	98,708	97,174	95,711	94,274	92,883	91,547	97,391	99,400
Total	338,311	333,482	328,875	324,338	319,946	315,703	334,168	340,470
	curr - 100 bp	curr - 50 bp	curr val	curr + 50bp	curr + 100bp	curr + 150bp	prior val	prior fyr end
			assumption				assumption	assumption
			Dollar Imp	act Relative t	o Valuation As	sumption		
AY	0.76%	1.26%	1.76%	2.26%	2.76%	3.26%	1.19%	0.54%
Total	9,436	4,607	-	(4,537)	(8,929)	(13,172)	5,293	11,595
	curr - 100 bp	curr - 50 bp	curr val	curr + 50bp	curr + 100bp	curr + 150bp	prior val	prior fyr end
			assumption				assumption	assumption
			Percentage I	mpact Relativ	e to Valuation	Assumption		
AY	0.76%	1.26%	1.76%	2.26%	2.76%	3.26%	1.19%	0.54%
2004								
2005	1.6%	0.8%	-	(0.8%)	(1.6%)	(2.4%)	0.9%	2.0%
2006	1.9%	0.9%		(0.9%)	(1.7%)	(2.5%)	1.0%	2.2%
2007	1.7%	0.8%	-	(0.8%)	(1.6%)	(2.4%)	0.9%	2.0%
2008	1.8%	0.9%		(0.8%)	(1.7%)	(2.5%)	1.0%	2.2%
2009	2.2%	1.1%	-	(1.1%)	(2.2%)	(3.2%)	1.2%	2.7%
2010	2.6%	1.2%		(1.2%)	(2.5%)	(3.6%)	1.4%	3.1%
2011	2.3%	1.1%	-	(1.1%)	(2.1%)	(3.2%)	1.3%	2.8%
2012	2.2%	1.1%		(1.0%)	(2.1%)	(3.0%)	1.2%	2.7%
2013	2.3%	1.1%	-	(1.1%)	(2.2%)	(3.2%)	1.3%	2.8%
2014	2.6%	1.3%		(1.3%)	(2.5%)	(3.7%)	1.5%	3.2%
2016	3.2%	1.6%	-	(1.5%)	(3.0%)	(4.5%)	1.8%	4.0%
2017	3.1%	1.5%	-	(1.5%)	(3.0%)	(4.4%)	1.8%	3.9%
Total	2.9%	1.4%	-	(1.4%)	(2.7%)	(4.0%)	1.6%	3.5%

assumption



### **EXHIBIT G**

Page 1 of 2

# Components of Member Statement IBNR (i.e. "Discounted") Change During Month

RSP	R
Account	Α

	Values						ı
AccYear	Sum of Prior Month Actual Amount	Sum of Projected Change	Sum of Change Due to AvsP Variances	Sum of Change Due to Valuation Implementation	Sum of Total Change	Sum of % Total Change	Sum of Current Month Final Amount
2004	(72)	-	-	-	-	-	(72)
2005	61	-	(24)	-	(24)	(39.3%)	37
2006	157	(8)	(3)	-	(11)	(7.0%)	146
2007	(139)	15	(104)	-	(89)	64.0%	(228)
2008	127	(3)	(3)	-	(6)	(4.7%)	121
2009	1,221	(65)	50	-	(15)	(1.2%)	1,206
2010	1,235	(58)	51	-	(7)	(0.6%)	1,228
2011	2,374	(116)	(23)	-	(139)	(5.9%)	2,235
2012	4,063	(205)	664	-	459	11.3%	4,522
2013	8,042	(429)	425	-	(4)	-	8,038
2014	13,229	(709)	172	-	(537)	(4.1%)	12,692
2015	19,158	(788)	154	-	(634)	(3.3%)	18,524
2016	25,920	(946)	540	-	(406)	(1.6%)	25,514
2017	44,869	(2,297)	813	-	(1,484)	(3.3%)	43,385
2018	6,022	3,211	(1,244)	-	1,967	32.7%	7,989
Grand Total	126.267	(2.398)	1.468	-	(930)	(0.7%)	125.337



### **EXHIBIT G**

Page 2 of 2

# Components of IBNR (i.e. "Undiscounted") Change During Month

RSP Alberta Grid Alberta Grid AccountCode Desc BNR - Undisc Inted

IBNR - in \$000s

Values									
AccYear	Sum of Prior Month Actual Amount	Sum of Projected Change	Sum of Change Due to AvsP Variances	Sum of Change Due to Valuation Implementation	Sum of Total Change	Sum of % Total Change	Sum of Current Month Final Amount		
2004	(80)	-	-	-	-	-	(80)		
2005	(31)	2	(26)	-	(24)	77.4%	(55)		
2006	115	(7)	7	-	-	-	115		
2007	(298)	18	(62)	-	(44)	14.8%	(342)		
2008	16	(1)	(5)	-	(6)	(37.5%)	10		
2009	993	(60)	52	-	(8)	(0.8%)	985		
2010	798	(48)	43	-	(5)	(0.6%)	793		
2011	1,712	(103)	(24)	-	(127)	(7.4%)	1,585		
2012	3,113	(187)	675	-	488	15.7%	3,601		
2013	6,693	(402)	487	-	85	1.3%	6,778		
2014	11,114	(667)	183	-	(484)	(4.4%)	10,630		
2015	14,910	(746)	169	-	(577)	(3.9%)	14,333		
2016	21,321	(853)	551	-	(302)	(1.4%)	21,019		
2017	39,407	(1,970)	706	-	(1,264)	(3.2%)	38,143		
2018	5,282	2,655	(1,232)	-	1,423	26.9%	6,705		
<b>Grand Total</b>	105,065	(2,369)	1,524	-	(845)	(0.8%)	104,220		